

Evaluation of the effect of Motiv on growth performance and shrimp pigmentation of penaeid shrimp (penaeus vannamei) at different shrimp farm conditions

APRIL 21, 2020

FROM:

ShrimpVet Research & Development Center ShrimpVet Laboratory Minh Phu AquaMekong Co., LTD (Vietnam)

TO:

Nguyen Duy Hoa, Ph.D. Global Technical Director Cargill Starches, Sweeteners & Texturizers

TRIAL ID:

WL-19016

1





EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Cargill, ShengLong Bio-Tech, and ShrimpVet conducted a joint trial to evaluate the effects of Motiv (a plant-based, bioactive protein designed for the commercial shrimp industry) on growth performance and shimp pigmentation of penaeid shrimp (Penaeus vannamei) in different shrimp farm conditions. There are four types of shrimp feed which were produced by ShengLong Bio-Tech:

- DIET #1: Commercial Control feed (CCF) (without Motiv, without Astaxanthin)].
- DIET #2: Astaxanthin Control feed (ACF) (with 50 ppm of Astaxanthin)
- DIET #3: Motiv feed (MTF) (with 7.5% Motiv, without Astaxanthin)
- DIET #4: Motiv plus Astaxanthin feed (MAF) (with 7.5% Motiv and 25 ppm of Astaxanthin).

The trial were conducted at different locations in Mekong Delta (South Vietnam):

| No. | Trial site | Location | Test feeds | Duration (days) | Start Date | Termination Date |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------------|-------------|------------------|
| 1 | Nguyen Duy Hoa's Shrimp Farm | Bac Lieu Province | DIET #2 (ACF) DIET #4 (MAF) | 80 | 5-Jan-2020 | 25-Mar-2020 |
| 2 | APA's WetLab (*Tank trial) | Bac Lieu Province | DIET #1 (CCF) DIET #2 (ACF) DIET #3 (MTF) DIET #4 (MAF) | 60 | 21-Jan-2020 | 21-Mar-2020 |
| 3 | ShengLong Demonstration Farm | Soc Trang Province | DIET #1 (CCF) DIET #3 (MTF) | 58 | 14-Jan-2020 | 12-Mar-2020 |
| 4 | Nghia's Shrimp Farm | Tien Giang Province | DIET #1 (CCF) DIET #3 (MTF) | 47 | 11-Feb-2020 | 29-Mar-2020 |
| 5 | ShrimpVet Demonstration Farm | Can Gio Dist., HoChiMinh City | DIET #2 (ACF) DIET #3 (MTF) | 8 weeks | | |

RESULTS

Site #1: Nguyen Duy Hoa's Shrimp Farm

| Treatment | DIET #2 [Astaxanthin control 50 ppm] | DIET #4 [Motiv (7.5%) + Astaxanthin (25 ppm)] | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Average initial body weight (g) | 2.5 | 2.5 | |
| Final biomass weight (kg) | 2,916.4 | 3,468.3 | |
| Average final body weight (g) | 26.3 | 29.7 | |
| Total feed intake (kg) | 4,841 | 5,445 | |
| Survival rate (%) | 88.60 | 93.36 | |
| ADG (g/day) | 0.197 | 0.233 | |
| FCR | 1.66 | 1.57 | |
| Color score | 22.70±0.95 | 24.50±0.71 | |
| Total Vibrio count (CFU/g) | 2.74x10 ⁷ | 2.76x10 ⁷ | |
| Total heterotrophic bacteria count (CFU/g) | 6.55x10 ⁷ | 5.35x10 ⁷ | |
| PCR test results (WSSV, AHPND, EHP) | Negative | Negative | |
| Histology results | AHPND, EHP & WSSV were not detected | | |



Site #2: APA's WetLab

| Treatment | DIET #1 [Control, No Motiv / No Astaxanthin] | DIET #2 [Control, Astaxanthin (50 ppm)] | DIET #3 [Motiv (7.5%)/ No Astaxanthin] | DIET #4 [Motiv (7.5%) + Astaxanthin (25 ppm)] | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Initial biomass weight (g) | 1,016.67 ± 76.38a | 1,003.33 ± 45.09a | 1,035.00 ± 73.65a | 1,033.33 ± 76.38a | |
| Average initial body weight (g) | 8.47 ± 0.64a | 8.36 ± 0.38a | 8.63 ± 0.61a | 8.61 ± 0.64a | |
| Final biomass weight (g) | 2,220.33 ± 310.29a | 2,120.33 ± 179.72 <mark>b</mark> | 2,406.00 ± 152.76c | 2,602.00 ± 34.18c | |
| Average final body weight (g) | 26.30 ± 0.79a | 27.21 ± 1.46a | 25.78 ± 0.53a | 27.42 ± 1.22a | |
| Final biomass gain (g) | 1,203.67 ± 237.87a | 1,117.00 ± 194.52a | 1,371.00 ± 79.79ab | 1,568.67 ± 95.92b | |
| Final weight gain (g) | 17.83 ± 1.40a | 18.85 ± 1.83a | 17.15 ± 0.59a | 18.80 ± 1.56a | |
| Total feed intake (g) | 3,021.00 ± 5.20a | 3,004.50 ± 6.87a | 3,018.00 ± 13.75a | 3,025.50 ± 12.99a | |
| Survival rate (%) | 70.56 ± 11.68a | 65.00 ± 5.46b | 77.78 ± 4.59c | 79.17 ± 2.50c | |
| ADG (g/day) | 0.31 ± 0.02a | 0.32 ± 0.03a | 0.30 ± 0.01a | 0.32 ± 0.03a | |
| FCR | 2.03 ± 0.20a | 2.06 ± 0.22a | 1.89 ± 0.06a | 1.70 ± 0.10a | |
| Color score | 21.47±0.63a | 23.00±0.68 <mark>b</mark> | 25.23±1.25c | 24.40±0.95c | |
| Total Vibrio count (CFU/g) | 4.56x10 ⁷ | 1.05x10 ⁸ | 6.80x10 ⁷ | 1.33x10 ⁷ | |
| Total heterotrophic bacteria count (CFU/g) | 2.10x10 ⁸ | 1.31x10 ⁸ | 8.27x10 ⁷ | 3.65x10 ⁷ | |
| PCR test results (WSSV, AHPND, EHP) | Negative | Negative Negative | | Negative | |
| Histology results | AHPND, EHP & WSSV were not detected | | | | |

 $(Values\ are\ presented\ as\ mean\ (n=3)\pm standard\ deviation.\ The\ same\ letters\ on\ the\ same\ column\ were\ not\ significant\ differences\ (P>0.05).$

Site #3: ShengLong Demonstration Farm

| Treatment | DIET #1 [Control, No M | otiv / No Astaxanthin] | DIET #3 [Motiv (7.5%)/ NO Astaxanthin] | | |
|--|---|------------------------|--|----------------------|--|
| Average initial body weight (g) | 2.7 | 27 | 1.54 | | |
| Final biomass weight (kg) | 2,070 | | - | | |
| Average final body weight (g) | 10.85±1.39 (early harvested due to disease outbreak) | | 29.7 | | |
| Total feed intake (kg) | 2,1 | 52 | 5,445 | | |
| Survival rate (%) | 10 | 01 | 93.36 | | |
| ADG (g/day) | 0.273 | | 0.233 | | |
| FCR | 1.04 | | 1.57 | | |
| 61 | DOC=30 | DOC=60 | DOC=30 | DOC=60 | |
| Color score | 23.00±1.15 | N/A | 24.60±0.84 | 25.90±0.88 | |
| Table Mark and (CTU/) | DOC=30 | DOC=60 | DOC=30 | DOC=60 | |
| Total Vibrio count (CFU/g) | 8.05x10 ⁴ | N/A | 1.08x10 ⁶ | 4.17x10 ⁶ | |
| | DOC=30 | DOC=60 | DOC=30 | DOC=60 | |
| Total heterotrophic bacteria count (CFU/g) | 6.00×10 ⁶ | N/A | 8.65x10 ⁶ | 1.05×10 ⁸ | |
| PCR test results (WSSV, AHPND, EHP) | EHP positive | | Negative | | |
| Histology results | AHPND, EHP & WSSV were not detected | | | | |



Site #4: Nghia's Shrimp Farm

| Treatment | DIET #1 [Control, No Motiv / No Astaxanthin] | DIET #3 [Motiv (7.5%)/ No Astaxanthin] | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Average initial body weight (g) | ~2.5 | ~2.5 | |
| Average final body weight (g) | 20.4 | 21.3 | |
| Final biomass (kg) | 3,950 | 3,470 | |
| Total feed intake (kg) | 4,676 | 4,667 | |
| Survival rate (%) | 95 | 84 | |
| ADG (g/day) | 0.22 | 0.23 | |
| FCR | 1.18 | 1.35 | |
| Color score | 20.70±0.48 | 23.90±0.74 | |
| Total Vibrio count (CFU/g) | 9.85x10 ⁶ | 2.21x10 ⁶ | |
| Total heterotrophic bacteria count (CFU/g) | 1.39x10 ⁷ | 2.58x10 ⁷ | |
| PCR test results (WSSV, AHPND, EHP) | Negative | Negative | |
| Histology results | AHPND, EHP & WSSV were not detected | | |

Site #5: ShrimpVet Demonstration Farm

| Treatment | DIET #2 [Control, Astaxanthin (50 ppm)] | DIET #3 [Motiv (7.5%)/ No Astaxanthin] | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Initial biomass weight (g) | N/A | N/A | |
| Average initial body weight (g) | N/A | N/A | |
| Final biomass weight (g) | N/A | N/A | |
| Average final body weight (g) | N/A | N/A | |
| Final biomass gain (g) | N/A | N/A | |
| Final weight gain (g) | N/A | N/A | |
| Total feed intake (g) | N/A | N/A | |
| Survival rate (%) | N/A | N/A | |
| ADG (g/day) | N/A | N/A | |
| FCR | N/A | N/A | |
| Color score | N/A | N/A | |
| Total Vibrio count (CFU/g) | N/A | N/A | |
| Total heterotrophic bacteria count (CFU/g) | N/A | N/A | |
| PCR test results (WSSV, AHPND, EHP) | WSSV positive | WSSV positive | |
| Histology results | N/A | N/A | |



CONCLUSION

| No. | Trial site | Test feeds | Duration (days) | Growth performance parameters | Shrimp pigmentation score | Bacterial analysis | PCR test results | Histology examination results |
|-----|------------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | Nguyen Duy Hoa's Shrimp Farm | DIET #2 (ACF) DIET #4 (MAF) | 80 | The trial was conducted in 500 m³ ponds with started shrimp size of 2.5 grams. After 80-day of culture, biomass, ADG, survival rate, and FCR in the DIET #4 pond were better than DIET #2. | Color score of shrimp ate DIET #4 was higher than shrimp ate DIET #2. | There was no significant difference between shrimp ate DIET #2 vs DIET #4. | PCR results showed negative to AHPND, EHP, and WSSV | Histology results showed negative to AHPND, EHP, and WSSV |
| 2 | APA's WetLab (*Tank trial) | DIET #1 (CCF) DIET #2 (ACF) DIET #3 (MTF) DIET #4 (MAF) | 60 | The trial was conducted in 1 m³ tanks with started shrimp size of 8.5 grams. After 60-day of culture, biomass, ADG, survival rate, and FCR in the DIET #3 & #4 tanks were better than DIET #1 & #2. | Color score of shrimp ate DIET #3 & #4 was higher than shrimp ate DIET #2. These groups are better than DIET #1. | There was no significant difference between shrimp ate DIET #1, #2 vs DIET #4 in terms of total Vibrio. However, total heterotrophic bacteria in DIET #3 & #4 were lower than DIET #1 & #2 1-log. | PCR results showed negative to AHPND, EHP, and WSSV | Histology results showed negative to AHPND, EHP, and WSSV |
| 3 | ShengLong Demonstration Farm | DIET #1 (CCF) DIET #3 (MTF) | 58 | The trial was conducted in 2000 m³ ponds with started shrimp size of 1.54-2.27 grams. After 29-day of culture (from start day of trial), shrimp in Control pond (DIET #1) must be early harvested due to an infectious disease outbreak. PCR test resulted that shrimp was EHP-positive. | There is only a comparision on day 29th of culture between Control (DIET #1) and Treatment (DIET #3) group. Color score of shrimp ate DIET #3 was higher than shrimp ate DIET #1. | There is only a comparision on day 29th of culture between Control (DIET #1) and Treatment (DIET #3) group. There was no significant difference between shrimp ate DIET #1 vs DIET #3. However, total heterotrophic bacteria in DIET #3 is high at termination date of trial. | PCR results showed negative to AHPND and WSSV/ However, CONTROL POND (DIET #1) showed EHP-Positive. | Histology results showed negative to AHPND and WSSV |
| 4 | Nghia's Shrimp Farm | DIET #1 (CCF) DIET #3 (MTF) | 47 | The trial was conducted in 1000 m³ ponds with started shrimp size of 2.5 grams. After 47-day of culture, biomass, ADG, survival rate, and FCR in the DIET #1 pond were better than DIET #1. Based on information from Mr. Nghia, there was a numerous of mortality in DIET #3 pond due to stress during the first 10-day of culture. | Color score of shrimp ate DIET #3 was higher than shrimp ate DIET #1. | There was no significant difference between shrimp ate DIET #1 vs DIET #3. | PCR results showed negative to AHPND, EHP, and WSSV | Histology results showed negative to AHPND, EHP, and WSSV |
| 5 | ShrimpVet Demonstration Farm | DIET #2 (ACF) DIET #3 (MTF) | _ | Due to the WSSV outbreak, there was no results from the trial at ShrimpVet farm. | | | | |